# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.:</u> 5914-01 <u>Bill No.:</u> HB 1776

Subject: Bonds - General Obligation and Revenue; Political Subdivisions; Appropriations

Type: Original

Date: February 23, 2012

Bill Summary: This proposal requires local governments to provide insurance to repay

appropriation bonds in the event of default by the local government.

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 5914-01 Bill No. HB 1776 Page 2 of 4 February 23, 2012

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- ☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
<b>Local Government</b>	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	

L.R. No. 5914-01 Bill No. HB 1776 Page 3 of 4 February 23, 2012

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

# **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Insurance**, **Financial Institutions and Professional Registration** assume the current proposal would not fiscally impact their agency.

Officials from the **City of Kansas City** anticipate that insurance, as required by this proposal, would add at least 1% to the cost of issuing debt.

Officials from the Counties of Andrew, Barry, Bates, Boone, Buchanan, Butler, Callaway, Camden, Cape Girardeau, Carroll, Cass, Clay, Cole, Cooper, DeKalb, Franklin, Greene, Hickory, Holt, Jackson, Jasper, Jefferson, Johnson, Knox, Laclede, Lafayette, Lawrence, Lincoln, Marion, Miller, Moniteau, Monroe, Montgomery, New Madrid, Nodaway, Ozark, Pemiscot, Perry, Phelps, Platte, Pulaski, Scott, St. Charles, St. Louis, St. Francois, Taney, Texas, Warren, and Webster did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact.

**Oversight** assumes the increased cost to the local governments from the requirement to carry insurance will have an unknown negative impact.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government  LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS	FY 2013 (10 Mo.)	FY 2014	FY 2015
<u>Cost</u> - Insurance for repayment of bonds in the case of default	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)

L.R. No. 5914-01 Bill No. HB 1776 Page 4 of 4 February 23, 2012

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

#### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposal requires local governments to provide insurance that repays bonds in the event of default.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration City of Kansas City

## NOT RESPONDING

**Numerous Counties** 

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

February 23, 2012